



AUTHORSHIP DISPUTE RESOLUTION PATHWAY

Step 0	Direct discussion between the parties. Resolution recorded in an updated Authorship and Contribution Agreement.
↓	If unresolved, or if direct discussion is not appropriate
Stage 1	PRINCIPAL SUPERVISOR — convenes the parties and seeks agreement. Decide within 10 working days. Outcome notified to the Dean (Research). Where the Principal Supervisor is a party, the Associate Supervisor acts, or the matter proceeds directly to Stage 2.
↓	Party dissatisfied, or no outcome within 10 working days. Complaint lodged within 20 working days.
Stage 2	DEAN (RESEARCH) — formal determination on the written complaint. Acknowledge within 5 working days; determine within 15 working days. Written reasons and notice of the right of review provided to each party.
↓	Party dissatisfied. Application for review lodged within 10 working days.
Stage 3	RESEARCH COMMITTEE — Authorship Review Panel of three, including one member independent of the matter and one member external to LIHE. Full review on the merits; determine within 20 working days. Final internal decision; reported to the Academic Board.
↓	Internal process exhausted, or timeframes not met.
External	NATIONAL STUDENT OMBUDSMAN • TEQSA • AUSTRALIAN RESEARCH INTEGRITY COMMITTEE (where the research is NHMRC or ARC funded). External advice may be sought at any time.

At any stage: where the conduct may constitute a breach of the Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research (2018), the matter is referred to the Dean (Research) as Research Integrity Officer under the Research Integrity and Misconduct Policy and Procedure, and this pathway is suspended until that assessment concludes.